Cancer institute in Adayar

2715. DR. V. MAITREYAN: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total amount due from CGHS to Cancer Institute Adayar, Chennai as on 31st July, 2007;

(b) whether it is a fact that from 1st August, 2007 Cancer Institute has stopped treating CGHS card holders on account of defaults in payment by CGHS;

(c) whether Government would come to the rescue of the CGHS card holders for the trauma and sufferings being faced by them by this act of CGHS;

(d) who are the officials responsible for this state of affairs and what action is being contemplated against them; and

(e) what is the total amount due by CGHS to various hospitals all over India as on 31st July, 2007?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRIMATI PANABAKA LAKSHMI): (a) Bills received from Cancer Institute Adayar, Chennai upto 31st July, 2007, have been cleared as per CGHS rates.

(b) Cancer Institute has intimated that till the issue of rates for reimbursement to them, in respect of CGHS beneficiaries, is clarified to them, they will not accept beneficiaries from CGHS for treatment.

(c) Cancer treatment facilities are available at 19 regional cancer centres and two Government Medical Colleges empanelled under CGHS for CGHS beneficiaries. The Cancer Institute Adayar, Chennai is one of these centres.

(d) In view of (a), (b) and (c) above, the question does not arise.

(e) Rs. 71,34,18,209/- (Rupees Seventy one crore thirty four lakh eighteen thousand two hundred and nine only).

Financial grants for development of SSIs

2716. SHRI DWIJENDRA NATH SHARMAH: Will the Minister of MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES be pleased to state:

(a) the details of financial grants allocated by Government for various States for development and promotion of small scale industries in the country during the last three years, State-wise and year-wise; and

(b) the details of new proposals and schemes formulated by Government to setup SSI units in the country?

THE MINISTER OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (SHRI MAHABIR PRASAD): (a) The development and promotion of small scale industries is primarily the responsibility of the respective State/Union Territory (UT) Governments/Administrations. The Central Government, however, supports and supplements the efforts of the State Govemments/UT Administrations through

various schemes/programmes. These are implemented across the country. Depending on demand, the allocation of funds is made scheme-wise, not State-wise.

(b) To facilitate the promotion and development of micro and small enterprises (MSEs) and to further enhancing their competitive edge, the Government has announced, *inter-alia,* (i) the Package for Promotion of Micro and Small Enterprises, (ii) the implementation of National Manufacturing Competitiveness Programme, besides operationalising the Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development (MSMED) Act, 2006 from 2nd October, 2006.

Mandatory purchase of products produced by SSIs

†2717. SHRI RAJ MOHINDER SINGH MAJITHA:
DR. MURLI MANOHAR JOSHI:

Will the Minister of MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIU NTERPRISES be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are considering a proposal for making mandatory the purchase of products produced in small scale industries sector;

(b) if so, the details of the proposal;

(c) whether the proposal is to be implimented in Government and non-Government sectors uniformly;

(d) if so, the details thereof; and

(e) by when this proposal is likely to be implemented?

THE MINISTER OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (SHRI MAHABIR PRASAD): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) to (e) This Ministry has initiated consultation process with all the stakeholders including industries associations and procurement agencies to formulate procurement preference policy for SMEs as envisaged under Section 11 of MSMED Act, 2006.

Appointment of CEO of KVIC

2718. SHRI MAHMOOD A. MADANI:
SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA:

Will the Minister of MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that despite recent amendment of the KVIC Act to professionalise it, the position of the CEO has remained vacant for long tenures;

(b) if so, the reasons for such delay in appointing the CEO of the KVIC; and

(c) by where Government propose to appoint the CEO?

---

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi